DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 305 585 CG 021 596

AUTHOR Suitor, J. Jill; Pillemer, Karl
TITLE Family Caregiving as a Social Status: A New Conceptual Framework for Studying Social Support and Well-Being. Revised .
SPONS AGENCY National Inst. of Mental Health (DHHS), Rockville, MD.
PUB DATE Aug 88
GRANT 5-T32-MH15161
NOTE 23p.; An earlier version of this paper was presented at the Annual Meeting of the Society for the Study of Social Problems (38th, Atlanta, GA, August 21-23, 1988).
PUB TYPE Reports - General (140) -- Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Family Caregivers; *Family Role; Models; Social Networks; *Social Status; *Social Support Groups; *Social Theories; *Well Being

ABSTRACT

A conceptual framework for studying the role of social support in explaining family caregivers' psychological well-being is developed in this paper. In particular, the framework presented emphasizes the importance of conceptualizing caregiving as a social status, and drawing upon the broader literature on status acquisitions, social support, and psychological well-being. This literatuare can be used to suggest that social support is likely to be most effective in reducing caregiver stress when it is provided by individuals with whom the caregiver shares a greater number of social statuses such as age, occupational prestige, and religion. Explanations are presented for the more positive effect of support from status-similar network members, and proposes longitudinal designs for investigating this issue are proposed. It is concluded: that the most obvious implication of reconceptualizing caregiving as a social status is the need to develop methodologies that permit an explanation of changes in the structure and function of individuals' social networks across the caregiving career; (2) that the study of family caregivers provides an important and unique context in which to study the buffering effects of social support; and (3) that the research that investigates the structure of social networks across the early stages of the caregiving career will have a number of important implications for practitioners. (Author/ABL)

**********
* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *
**********

ED305585

# FAMILY CAREGIVING AS A SOCIAL STATUS: A NEW CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR STUDYING SOCIAL SUPPORT AND WELL-BEING

J. Jill Suitor

Department of Sociology and Anthropology

Fordham University

Karl Pillemer

Family Research Laboratory

University of New Hampshire

CG 021596

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

☑ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

J Jill Suitor

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

An earlier version of this paper was presented at the Annual Meetings of the Society for the Study of Social Problems, Atlanta, Georgia, August, 1988.

This work was completed while the first author was supported by an N.I.M.H. Post-Doctoral Fellowship in Family Violence (5 T32 MH15161) at the Family Research Laboratory at the University of New Hampshire.



BEST COPY AVAILABLE

# FAMILY CAREGIVING AS A SOCIAL STATUS: A NEW CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR STUDYING SOCIAL SUPPORT AND WELL-BEING


## ABSTRACT

The present paper develops a conceptual framework for studying the role of social support in explaining family caregivers' psychological well-being. In particular, the framework emphasizes the importance of conceptualizing cargiving as a social status, and drawing upon the broader literature on status acquisitions, social support, and psychological well-being. This literature can be used to suggest that social support is likely to be the most effective in reducing caregiver stress when it is provided by individuals with whom the caregiver shares a greater number of social statuses (e.g., age, occupational prestige, religion, etc.). The paper presents explanations for the more positive effect of support from status similar network members, and proposes longitudinal designs for investigating this issue.

# FAMILY CAREGIVING AS A SOCIAL STATUS: A NEW CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR STUDYING SOCIAL SUPPORT AND WELL-BEING

During the past decade, researchers have shown increasing interest in understanding the experiences of caregivers to the chronically ill and frail elderly (e.g., Brody, Kleban, Johnsen, Hoffman, and Schoonover, 1987; Cantor, 1983; Colerick and George, 1986; Fengler and Goodrich, 1979; George and Gwyther, 1984, 1986; Montgomery, Gonyea, and Hooyman, 1985; Poulshock and Deimling, 1984; Robinson, 1983; Zarit, Todd and Zarit, 1986). While this research has shown that family caregivers often experience considerable strain, it has not provided an entirely consistent set of explanations for that strain--particularly regarding the effects of social support. We contend that the degree of inconsistency in this line of research could be reduced by greater attention to sociological theory and greater emphasis on longitudinal designs. The purpose of the present paper is to develop a conceptual framework for studying the role of social support in family caregivers' well-being, and to discuss the methodological implications of this framework.

## CONCEPTUALIZING CAREGIVING TO THE ELDERLY AS A SOCIAL STATUS

The atheoretical nature of most research on family caregivers may be the result of the way in which caregiving has generally been conceptualized (for exceptions, see George, 1986; Wallace and Noelker, 1984). In particular, family caregiving has generally been viewed as a specific activity, rather than as a

social status (cf., Brody, 1985; Hess and Waring, 1978). We would argue, however, that "family caregiver" meets the sociological definition of a social status. There are two bases for this argument. First, family caregiver is a position in society that has specific behavioral and attitudinal expectations associated with it. Specifically, individuals who assume the care of an elderly relative are expected to provide both physical and emotional support for the care recipient, and to do so with a minimum of resentment (cf. George, 1986).[1] The persistence of these norms is illustrated by recent findings on attitudes regarding filial responsibility. Adult children continue to be expected to provide elderly parents assistance with both expenses and activities of daily living (Brody, Johnson, and Fulcomer, 1984; Roff and Klemmack, 1986), even when doing so requires that the children adjust their family plans (Brody et al., 1984) and work responsibilities (Roff and Klemmack, 1986). These informal prescriptions to provide assistance are reinforced by recently implemented laws regarding elder neglect that provide formal standards for adequate performance of caregiving responsibilities (Callahan, 1982; Crystal, 1986; Salend, Kane, and Satz, 1984).

Second, becoming a caregiver involves a status acquisition that is, in many ways, not unlike those experienced when individuals acquire other new social statuses during adulthood, such as becoming parents, becoming divorced, retiring, or entering or reentering the labor force or college.[2] One important way in which becoming a caregiver is similar to other

status acquisitions is that it is precipitated by the occurrence of a socially recognized event. For family caregiving, the event is likely to be the onset of the relative's illness, the time of an injury, or release from the hospital following serious illness or injury. In the case of Alzheimer's disease or other dementing illnesses, there is generally not an abrupt change in well-being that initiates caregiving. Thus, it might appear that there is not an event that precipitates the acquisition. However, there are two bases upon which to argue that caregiving responsibilities are most likely to become clearly defined at the time that a formal diagnosis of dementia is made.

First, prior to diagnosis, the individual may have given non-medical interpretations to the old person's condition (cf. Chenoweth and Spencer, 1986); after the illness has been identified, the caregiver must recognize that the patient is seriously ill, and begin to attempt to plan for his or her long-term care.

Second, once the patient's condition has been brought to the attention of medical personnel, they will expect the relative who has been listed as the contact/responsible person to begin enacting the role of caregiver, thus creating social pressure on the individual to perform that role. Therefore, we would argue that in most cases, there is a point that marks the transition to the status of caregiver, even in the case of victims of dementia.

While there are many implications of the conceptualization of caregiving as a social status, we will restrict our discussion

to two that we believe are particularly important to consider in future research on caregiver stress: (a) the salience of drawing upon the broader literature on status transitions, social support and psychological well-being well-being to understand the relationship between support and caregiver stress; and (b) the need to develop longitudinal designs that collect data on social support and well-being across the caregiving career.

## STATUS TRANSITIONS, SOCIAL SUPPORT AND PSYCHOLOGICAL WELL-BEING

The effect of informal social support has become a focus of research on caregiver stress. While this work has shown a general tendency for social support to be associated with decreased stress, the findings are less consistent than might be expected; particularly given the salience of social support for well-being in noncaregiving populations (cf. Cohen and Wills, 1985; Lin, 1986; Lin, Woelfel, and Light, 1985, 1986; Lin and Dean, 1984; Turner, 1981; Wethington and Kessler, 1986).

For example, some studies of caregivers have shown that support from both family and friends reduces stress (cf., Fengler and Goodrich, 1978; Zarit et al., 1980), while others have found that only support from family members is effective (cf. Pratt et al., 1985). Studies have also shown that the effects of social support vary, depending upon the stage in the caregiving career. For example, Zarit and his colleagues (1986) found that support was associated with decreased burden in earlier stages of the caregiving career, but not in later stages. We believe that future research on the effects of social support on caregiver

stress could provide more consistent findings by developing designs that draw upon the broader literature on status transitions, social support, and psychological well-being. This literature shows that acquiring a new status generally produces changes in individuals' social networks that are consequential for both the provision of support and psychological well-being. In particular, when individuals acquire new statuses, they often reduce contact with associates to whom they have become less similar, and intensify existing relationships, or develop new relationships with others to whom they have become more similar (cf. Bell, 1981; Belsky and Rovine, 1984; Gouldner and Strong, 1987; Hetherington, Cox and Cox, 1976; Suitor, 1987a). In part, this pattern of status homophily (cf. Lazarsfeld and Merton, 1954) occurs because individuals who share social statuses tend to have similar values and interests (cf. Homans, 1950; Singer, 1981), and similarity promotes liking and interaction (Homans, 1950; Lazarsfeld and Merton, 1954; Newcomb, 1961).

The increase in status similarity is likely to augment the effect of social support on psychological well-being following undesirable life events. A recent study by Lin and his colleagues (1985, 1986) showed that support from individuals who were similar to the respondents (e.g., in terms of similarity of age, occupational prestige, etc.) was associated with lower levels of depression following undesirable life events than was support from individuals with whom the respondents did not share those statuses.

Lin (1986) suggests that support from status similar network members provides a greater buffer against the effects of undesirable life events because these ties promote sharing and confiding. While we agree with this argument, we believe that the greater buffering effect also occurs because similarity decreases the likelihood that social support will have any detrimental consequences on well-being. Although researchers have emphasized the positive effects of social support on psychological well-being, there are both theoretical and empirical bases upon which to suggest that the receipt of support from network members may have detrimental, as well as beneficial, effects.

Principles of exchange theory suggest that receiving support could have a detrimental effect on well-being if individuals are in a structural position that makes reciprocity difficult (cf. Brehm and Brehm, 1981; Fisher and Nadler, 1976; Greenberg and Shapiro, 1971; Schumaker and Jackson, 1979: Walster, Walster and Berscheid, 1978). A recent study of middle-aged mothers with dependent children provides support for this argument. Riley and Eckenrode (1986) found that social support reduced the negative affect of women who had high levels of social and personal resources, and therefore could reciprocate; however, support increased the negative affect of women who had low levels of social and personal resources. Consistent with exchange theory, Riley and Eckenrode's explanation for this finding was that the women experienced discomfort when they violated the norm of reciprocity (cf. Gouldner, 1960).[3]

We suggest that this potentially negative consequence of social support is less likely when support is offered by network members to whom the individual is more similar. The basis for this expectation is that individuals who share social statuses may be more accepting of one anothers' temporary inability to reciprocate support.

In part, this may be because status similarity is associated with greater closeness (cf. C. Fischer, 1981; L. Fischer, 1982; Gouldner and Strong, 1987; Suitor, 1987a), and there is more tolerance of short-term violation of the norm of reciprocity between intimates (cf. Walster et al., 1978; Wentowski, 1981). It may also be that individuals who are status similar have a greater understanding of one anothers' resources and ability to reciprocate, and are therefore more tolerant of temporary periods of nonreciprocity, regardless of closeness. For example, Suitor (1987b) found that well-educated mothers were more understanding of their adult daughters' inability to reciprocate support while enrolled in college than were less-educated mothers, even though the closeness of the mother-daughter relationships did not vary by mothers' educational attainment.

Another potential source of stress associated with network contact may also be reduced by status similarity. Research by Fiore and her colleagues (1983) has shown that unmet expectations of support and negative input from network members is even more important in explaining psychological well-being than is perceived helpfulness. Suitor's research on married mothers'

return to college (Suitor, 1987a, 1987b) suggests that status similarity to network members reduces the likelihood that individuals will experience these negative aspects of network contact. Specifically, she found that the value similarity associated with status similarity resulted in both greater support and less criticism of the choices the women made in the articulation of their multiple roles. Thus, status similarity appears to be important in reducing the negative aspects of contact with network members that can detrimentally affect psychological well-being.

In summary, we propose that the greater the status similarity between the caregiver and his or her network members, the more support he or she will be provided, and the more that support will have uniformly positive effects on psychological well-being.[4,5]

Consistent with this argument, some studies of caregivers suggest that interaction with associates to whom the caregiver is status-similar may provide a more effective buffer against stress. As already noted, Pratt et al. (1985) found that only interaction with family members was significantly related to lower caregiver burden; while Kahan and his colleagues (Kahan, Kemp, Staples, and Brummel-Smith, 1985) found that subjects who participated in a caregivers' support group (and thus had the opportunity to interact with others who occupied the caregiver status) reported lower levels of burden at the end of a four-month period than did controls who had not been afforded

that experience.

However, examination of the structural relationship between the respondent and his or her supporters needs to be extended beyond the more obvious status similarities of family membership and participation in a common support group. In particular, it is important to investigate the effects of other status similarities (e.g., age, marital status, religion, educational level, occupational prestige; age of parents) on the provision of support, and, ultimately, on caregivers' psychological well-being.

## IMPLICATIONS OF STUDYING CAREGIVING AS A STATUS TRANSITION

The most obvious implication of reconceptualizing caregiving as a social status is the need to develop methodologies that permit an examination of changes in the structure and function of individuals' social networks across the caregiving career. While there appear to be similarities between the transition to caregiver and the transition to other adult statuses, no data have been collected that permit such comparisons. Thus, we do not know whether the changes in network structure and function that occur after becoming a caregiver parallel those experienced when individuals acquire other new statuses, nor how changes that occur affect caregivers' psychological well-being. We believe that these questions can best be addressed by following caregivers from the time of the acquisition of the caregiver status.

The study of changes in network structure and function

across the caregiving career can make an important theoretical contribution to the literature on status transitions and both interpersonal relations and psychological well-being. First, collecting detailed information on changes in the structure and function of caregivers' social networks can provide a greater understanding of the specific processes by which changes in interpersonal relations occur following status acquisitions, and the effects of those changes on psychological well-being.

Second, the study of family caregivers provides an important and unique context in which to study the buffering effects of social support. Most research has examined the buffering effects of support in the face of the sum of all negative life events experienced within a specified period of time (e.g., the previous year). In most cases, these events are relatively transitory (e.g., problems at work; financial difficulties). Even if both irreversible and of very serious consequence, many of these events do not impose extraordinarily taxing new role demands. In contrast, studies of caregiving can investigate the effects of a life event which results in the acquisition of a new social status with substantial role requirements that are likely to continue for an extended and unspecified period of time.

Further, we believe that research that investigates the structure of social networks across the early stages of the caregiving career will have a number of important implications for practitioners. In particular, research focused on this issue will help to identify risk factors associated with network

structure that are likely to lead to decreased social support and increased psychological distress. In addition, examining changes in network structure, social support, and psychological well-being across the caregiving career will increase our ability to determine at which points the provision of formal supports may have the greatest benefit.

## FOOTNOTES

1. Throughout the present paper, the term "family caregiver" is used refer to the individual who has primary responsibility for the care of his or her spouse or parent. While two or more individuals may assume primary caregiving responsibility for an elderly family member at different points, there is typically one individual who provides the majority of the relative's care at any one point (cf. Johnson, 1983; Shanas, 1979).

2. We have chosen to use the term "status acquisition" rather than the more conventional term "status transition" to describe becoming a caregiver. Our choice was based on the fact that in most cases, becoming a family caregiver does not require vacating one status to occupy another, as is the case when individuals acquire some other statuses (e.g., moving from marriage to divorce). Instead, the status of caregiver is added to the individual's existing status set.

3. Concern with violating the norm of reciprocity may also help to explain why individuals' contact with friends and family often declines after they become primary caregivers. While it has generally been assumed that reduced contact with friends and family is the result of these associates "pulling away," it may be that, in some instances, caregivers discourage contact because it places them in the uncomfortable position of receiving support which they do not have the time or energy to reciprocate. This suggestion is supported by Belle's (1982) finding that women who were having serious family financial difficulties tended to avoid

receiving social support that they felt they could not reciprocate.

4. We believe it is important to measure the degree of status similarity between associates, rather than its mere presence or absence. For example, educational similarity should be measured by the difference in years between two associates' educational attainment, rather than as "similar" versus "dissimilar." Further, status similarity can be based on either one total measure of the degree of similarity across several statuses, or the degree of similarity on each dimension separately.

5. We should note that findings from several studies indicate that social support plays a more important role in women's than men's psychological well-being (cf. Ensel, 1986; Husaini, Neff, Newbrough, and Moore, 1982; Wheeley, 1982). Therefore, it is important to explore gender differences in the applicability of this model.

## REFERENCES


Bell, Robert R. 1981. Worlds of Friendship. Beverly Hills:Sage.

Belle, Deborah. 1982. Lives in Stress. Beverly Hills, CA:Sage.

Belsky, Jay, and Michael Rovine. 1984. "Social-Network Contact, Family Support, and the Transition to Parenthood." Journal of Marriage and the Family 46:455-462.

Brehm, Sharon S. and Jack W. Brehm. 1981. Psychological Reactance: A Theory of Freedom and Control. New York: Academic.

Brody, Elaine M. 1985. "Parent Care as a Normative Family Stress." The Gerontologist 25:19-29.

Brody, Elaine M., Pauline T. Johnsen, and Marc Fulcomer. 1984. "What Should Adult Children Do for Elderly Parents? Opinions and Preferences of Three Generations of Women." Journal of Gerontology 39:736-746.

Brody, Elaine M., Morton H. Kleban, Pauline T. Johnsen, Christine Hoffman, and Claire B. Schoonover. 1987. "Work Status and Parent Care: A Comparison of Four Groups of Women." The Gerontologist 27:201-208.

Callahan, James J. 1982. "Elder Abuse Programming: Will It Help the Elderly?" Urban Social Change Review 15:15-19.

Cantor, Marjorie. 1983. "Strain Among Caregivers: A Study of Experience in the United States." The Gerontologist 23: 597-604.

Chenoweth, Barbara and Beth Spencer. 1986. "Dementia: The Experience of Family Caregivers." The Gerontologist 26: 267-272.

Cohen, Sheldon and Thomas Ashby Wills. 1985. "Stress, Social Support, and the Buffering Hypothesis." Psychological Bulletin 98:310-357.

Colerick, E.J. and Linda K. George. 1986. "Predictors of Institutionalization Among Caregivers to Alzheimer's Patients." Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 34:493-498.

Crystal, Stephen. 1986. "Social Policy and Elder Abuse." Pp. 331-340 in K. Pillemer and R. Wolf (eds.), Elder Abuse: Conflict in the Family. Dover, MA:Auburn House.

Ensel, Walter M. 1986. "Sex, Marital Status, and Depression: The Role of Life Events and Social Support." Pp. 231-247 in N. Lin, A. Dean and W. Ensel (eds), Social Support, Life Events, and Depression. New York:Academic.

Fengler, Alfred P. and Nancy Goodrich. 1979. "Wives of Elderly Disabled Men: The Hidden Patients." The Gerontologist 19: 175-183.

Fiore, Joan, Joseph Becker, and David B. Coppel. 1983. "Social Network Interactions: A Buffer or A Stress." American Journal of Community Psychology 11:423-439.

Fischer, Claude S. 1982. To Dwell Among Friends: Personal Networks in Town and City. Chicago: University of Chicago.

Fischer, Lucy Rose. 1981. "Transitions in the Mother-Daughter Relationship." Journal of Marriage and the Family 43: 613-622.

Fisher, Jeffrey D. and Arie Nadler. 1976. "Effect of Donor Resources on Recipient Self-Esteem and Self-Help." Journal of Experimental Social Psychology 12:139-150.

George, Linda K. 1986. "Caregiver Burden: Conflict Between Norms of Reciprocity and Solidarity." Pp. 67-92 in K. Pillemer and R. Wolf (Eds.), Elder Abuse: Conflict in the Family. Families of the Elderly: Theory, Research and Intervention. Dover, MA: Auburn House.

George, Linda K. and Lisa P. Gwyther. 1986. "Caregiver Well-Being: A Multidimensional Examination of Family Caregivers of Demented Adults." The Gerontologist 26:253-259.

George, Linda K. and Lisa P. Gwyther. 1984. "The Dynamics of Caregiver Burden: Changes in Caregiver Well-Being Over Time." Presented at the Annual Meetings of the American Sociological Association, San Antonio, Texas.

Gilhooly, Mary L.M. 1984. "The Impact of Care-Giving on Care-Givers: Factors Associated with the Psychological Well-Being of People Supporting a Dementing Relative in the Community." British Journal of Medical Psychology 57:35-44.

Gouldner, Alvin W. 1960. "The Norm of Reciprocity." American Sociological Review 25:161-178.

Gouldner, Helen and Mary Symons Strong. 1987. Speaking of Friendship: Middle-Class Women and Their Friends. Greenwood Press.

Greenberg, Martin S. and Solomon P. Shapiro. 1971. "Indebtedness: An Adverse Aspect of Asking for and Receiving Help." Sociometry 34:290-301.

Hess, Beth B. and Joan M. Waring. 1978. "Changing Patterns of Aging and Family Bonds in Later Life." The Family Coordinator 27:303-314.

Hetherington, E. Mavis, Martha Cox, and Roger Cox. 1976. "Divorced Fathers." Family Coordinator 25:417-428.

Homans, George C. 1950. The Human Group. New York: Harcourt, Brace Jovanovich.

Husaini, Baqor A., James A. Neff, J.R. Newbrough, and Michael C. Moore. "The Stress-Buffering Role of Social Support and Personal Competence Among the Rural Married." Journal of Community Psychology 10:409-426.

Johnson, Colleen Leahy. 1983. "Dyadic Family Relations and Social Support." The Gerontologist 23:377-383.

Johnson, Colleen Leahy and D. Catalano. 1983. "A Longitudinal Study of Family Supports to Impaired Elderly." The Gerontologist 23:610-618.

Kahan, Jason, Bryan Kemp, Fred R. Staples and Kenneth Brummel-Smith. 1985. "Decreasing the Burden in Families Caring for A Relatives with A Dementing Illness." Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 33:664-670.

Lazarsfeld, Paul F. and Robert K. Merton. 1954. "Friendship as A Social Process: A Substantive and Methodological Analysis." Pp. 18-66 in M. Berger et al. (eds.), Freedom and Control in Modern Society. New York:Liton.

Lin, Nan. 1986. "Conceptualizing Social Support." Pp 17-30 in N. N. Lin, A. Dean and W. Ensel (eds), Social Support, Life Events, and Depression. New York:Academic.

Lin, Nan, and Alfred Dean. 1984. "Social Support and Depression: A Panel Study." Social Psychiatry 19:83-91.

Lin, Nan, Mary W. Woelfel and Stephen C. Light. 1985. "The Buffering Effect of Social Support Subsequent to an Important Life Event." Journal of Health and Social Behavior 26: 247-263.

Lin, Nan, Mary Woelfel, and Stephen C. Light. 1986. "Buffering the Impact of the Most Important Life Event." Pp. 307-332 in N. Lin, A. Dean, and W. Ensel (eds.), Social Support, Life Events, and Depression. New York:Academic.

Montgomery, R.J.V., J.G. Gonyea and N.R. Hooyman. 1985. "Caregiving and the Experience of Subjective and Objective Burden." Family Relations 34: 19-26.

Newcomb, Theodore M. 1961. The Acquaintance Process. New York: Rinehart and Winston.

Poulshock, S. Walter and Gary T. Deimling. 1984. "Families Caring for Elders in Residence: Issues in the Measurement of Burden." Journal of Gerontology 39:230-239.

Pratt, Clara C., Vicki L. Schmall, Scott Wright and Marilyn Cleland. 1985. "Burden and Coping Strategies of Caregivers to Alzheimer's Patients." Family Relations 34:27-33.

Riley, Dave and John Eckenrode. 1986. "Social Ties: Subgroup Differences in Costs and Benefits." Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 51:770-778.

Robinson, Betsy. 1983. "Validation of A Caregiver Strain Index." Journal of Gerontology 38:344-348.

Roff, Lucinda Lee and David L. Klemmack. 1986. "Norma for Employed Daughters' and Sons' Behavior Toward Frail Older Parents." Sex Roles 14:363-368.

Salend, E., R.A. Kane, and M. Satz. 1984. "Elder Abuse Reporting: Limitations of Statutes." The Gerontologist 24:61-69.

Schumaker, Sally Ann and James S. Jackson. 1979. "The Aversive Effects of Nonreciprocated Benefits." Social Psychology Quarterly 42:148-158.

Shanas, Ethel. 1979. "The Family as a Social Support in Old Age." The Gerontologist 19:169-174.

Singer, Eleanor. 1981. "Reference Groups and Social Evaluations." Pp. 66-93 in M. Rosenberg and R. Turner (eds.), Social Psychology: Sociological Perspectives. New York:Basic.

Suitor, J. Jill. 1987a. "Friendship Networks in Transition: Married Mothers Return to School." Journal of Social and Personal Relationships 4:445-461.

Suitor, J. Jill. 1987b. "Mother-Daughter Relations When Married Daughters Return to School." Journal of Marriage and the Family 49:435-444.

Turner, R. Jay. 1981. "Social Support as A Contingency in Psychological Well-Being." Journal of Health and Social Behavior 22:357-367.

Wallace, Robert W., and Linda S. Noelker. 1984. "Conceptualizing Family Caregiving: An Application of Role Theory." Paper presented at the Annual Meetings of the Gerontological Society of America, San Antonio, Texas, November.

Walster, Elaine (Hatfield), G.W. Walster, and Ellen Berscheid. 1978.Equity: Theory and Research. Boston:Allyn and Bacon. Wentowski. 1981.

Wethington, Elaine and Ronald C. Kessler. 1986. "Perceived Support, Received Support, and Adjustment to Stressful Life Events." Journal of Health and Social Behavior 27:78-89.

Wheeley, B.M. 1982. Quality of Person-Situation Factors as a Measure of Social Support. Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, George Peabody College for Teachers of Vanderbilt University.

Zarit, Stephen, Karen E. Reever, and Julie Bach-Peterson. 1980. Relatives of the Impaired Elderly: Correlates of Feelings of Burden." The Gerontologist 20:649-655.

Zarit, Stephen, Pamela A. Todd, and Judy M. Zarit. 1986. "Subjective Burden of Husbands and Wives as Caregivers: A Longitudinal Study." The Gerontologist 26:260-266.